

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alekaddria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.duspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/014,773	3 12/11/2001		Hannu Konttinen	413-010727-US(PAR)	9944	
2512	7590	12/27/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PERMAN		N	LUDWIG, MATTHEW J			
425 POST ROAD FAIRFIELD, CT 06824				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2178		
				DATE MAILED: 12/27/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/014,773	KONTTINEN, HANNU		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Matthew J. Ludwig	2178		

	Matthew J. Ludwig	2178						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 23 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no								
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) \square They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1.4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.4.		ompliant Amondment	(DTOL 324)					
		ompliant Amendment	(F1OL-324).					
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment the non-allowable claim(s). 								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:		EPHEN HONG						
	51							

TERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has introduced new limitations into the claim, thus changing the scope of the invention when read as a whole. More specifically, the independent claim now includes 'searching the text, based on language specific rules, for a start element and end element'. Because of the newly added claim language, the application requires further search and consideration. Furthermore, the applicant argues on page 11 of the after-final amendment that the purpose of the system of the invention is to present a page of hypertext in the serial structure of a printed document, divided into increments that facilitate display on a screen of limited size and in a manner which facilitaes understanding. The applicant states the references do not teach, alone or in combination, a system that chooses short text portions in the navigational pane and copies them one at a time enlarged to the read pane on a small and low resolution screen. The above-mentioned language could not be found in the claims and therefore could not be responded to by the Examiner. Finally, the applicant believes the Warnock reference fails to teach language specific rules, for a start element and end element. The Examiner notes the newly formed claim language would have to be considered by the Examiner before it could be determined whether or not the reference teaches the newly formed limitations of the claim.